CATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONA	AL SEARCH	IING AUTHO	RITY							
To: PETER F. COR EDWARDS & A	LESS ANGELL, L			PCT						
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY						
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)						
	-			Date of mailing 31 JAN 2006 (day/month/year)						
Applicant's or agent's file reference				FOR FURTHER ACTION						
60677 (49163				See paragraph 2 below						
International app	olication No.		International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/US05/0763			0 March 2005 (10.03.2005)		10 March 2004 (10.03.2004)					
International Pat	ent Classific	ation (IPC) or	both national classificati	ion and IPC						
	3/00; C07K	1/00; A01K 6	7/00 and US Cl.: 424/93.1	1; 530/350; 800/8						
Applicant										
UNIVERISTY (OF FLORIDA	A								
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:										
Вох	Box No. I Basis of the opinion									
Box	No. II	Priority								
Box	No. III	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
Box	No. IV									
Вох	No. V									
Box	Box No. VI Certain documents cited									
Box	No. VII	Certain defec	ts in the international app	olication						
Box	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
2 FURTHE	2 ACTION	ī								
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.										
IPEA a writt of Form PC	ten reply tog I/ISA/220 or	ether, where a before the ex	appropriate, with amendr piration of 22 months fro	nents, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the spiration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.										
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.										
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US			Date of complete	ion of this opinion	Authorized officer),					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			28 December 20	· ·	Ram R Shukla Shu					
			20 Documber 20	(20.,2.,2000)	Telephone No. (571-272-1600					

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/07631

Box No. I Basis of this opinion							
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
the international application in the language in which it was filed							
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).							
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a. type of material							
a sequence listing							
table(s) related to the sequence listing							
b. format of material							
on paper							
in electronic form							
c. time of filing/furnishing							
contained in the international application as filed.							
filed together with the international application in electronic form.							
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.							
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.							
4. Additional comments:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/07631

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
1. Statement									
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-13, 16, 17, 22-24, 27-29	YES							
, ()	Claims 14, 15, 18-21, 25, 26								
Annualization (AS)	Claims 5-7,16,17,22-24 and 27-29	YES							
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>3-7,10,17,22-24 and 27-29</u> Claims <u>1-4,8-15, 18-21, 25 and 26</u>	NO							
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-29	YES NO							
	Claims NONE	NO							
2. Citations and explanations:									
Please See Continuation Sheet									
•									
		•							
	•								
	·								
	-								
		•							
•									

10/591883 IAPS Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 SEP 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/07631

Supplemental Box		
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.		

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 14, 15, 18-21, 25 and 26 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Kanadia et al. Kanadia teaches a transgenic mouse comprising a deletion of exon 3 of the endogenous Mbnl1 gene (page 1978, Figure 1). Kanadia also teaches that said mouse exhibits at least one symptom of myotonic dystrophy, namely myotonia and ocular cataracts (page 1979, col. 3, paragr. 2, lines 1-2 and Figure 2); however, Kanadia teaches that said mouse does not develop neonatal muscle weakness that is typically associated with congenital DM1 in humans (page 1980, col. 3, paragr. 1, lines 1-3). Kanadia teaches that the symptoms of said mouse are indicative of a microsatellite repeat expansion disease (i.e. DM types 1 and 2) caused by a microsatellite expansion in a non-coding region of DNA (i.e. within the 3' UTR of the DMPK gene for DM type 1 and within the first intron of the ZNF9 gene for DM type 2; page 1979, col. 1, lines 1-9). Kanadia teaches immunoblot analysis of total spleen protein and thus teaches a cell (i.e. spleen cell) isolated from said mouse (page 1978, Figure 1D). Kanadia teaches that said mouse exhibits abnormal muscleblind proteins in that the Mbnl1 protein is not expressed in the spleen of said mouse (page 1978, Figure 1D). Kanadia teaches that said mouse exhibits abnormal splicing of Clcn1 mRNA resulting in Clcn1 mRNA encoding non-functional ClC-1 protein (page 1979, col. 3, paragr. 2, line 1 to page 1980, col. 1, line 6). Kanadia also teaches that said mouse exhibits similar abnormal splicing of Tnnt2 and Tnnt3 mRNA (page 1980, col. 2, paragr. 1).

Claims 1-4 and 8-13 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Miller and Hartigan-O'Connor. Kanadia does not teach a method of treating a disease associated with aberrant microsatellite expansion comprising administering nucleic acid encoding Mbnl1 and does not teach a pharmaceutical composition comprising recombinant adeno-associated virus containing a transgene that encodes Mbnl1. Miller teaches a model for DM1 wherein microsatellite-containing mutant DMPK mRNA sequesters MBNL protein from its normal RNA-binding sites (page 4446, col. 1, lines 6-11 and page 4445, Figure 7). Miller further teaches that a causative agent in DM1 may be "sequestration of (CUG)n-binding proteins (i.e. MBNL proteins) on mutant DMPK RNAs and depletion from other transcripts that require these proteins for normal gene expression" (page 4440, col. 1, lines 4-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to combine the teachings of Kanadia and Miller to provide the claimed method of treating a disease associated with aberrant microsatellite expansion comprising administering nucleic acid encoding MBNL protein. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to formulate a pharmaceutical composition of a nucleic acid encoding MBNL protein for use in said method by constructing a recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV) containing a transgene that encodes MBNL protein. It was well known in this art at the time of the invention that rAAV could be used in pharmaceutical compositions to practice gene therapy. For example, Hartigan-O'Connor teach the benefits of rAAV vectors in general (page 230, col. 1, paragr. 3 to page 231, col. 1, line 2) and specifically in relation to delivery of therapeutic genes to dystrophic muscles (page 225, col. 2, line 1 to page 227, col. 2, paragr. 2 and see entire document). In summary, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to combine the teachings of Kanadia, Miller and Hartigan-O'Connor to provide the claimed method of treating a disease associated with aberrant microsatellite expansion comprising administering a rAAV containing a transgene encoding MBNL proteins.

Claims 5-7, 16, 17, 22-24 and 27-29 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest: the claimed method of treatment wherein treating comprises reversing the misplicing of the genes encoding amyloid beta precursor protein, NMDA receptor or microtubule associated protein tau; a transgenic mouse comprising a deletion of exon 3 of the

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/07631

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

endogenous Mbnl1 gene, wherein said mouse exhibits symptoms typical of a disease associated with aberrant microsatellite expansion in humans, wherein the symptoms of said mouse comprise muscle weakness and ocular cataracts, wherein the disease associated with aberrant microsatellite expansion in humans is caused by a microsatellite expansion in a coding region of DNA, wherein said mouse exhibits loss of functional amyloid beta precursor protein, NMDA receptor or microtubule-associated protein tau; or a method of using a transgenic mouse comprising a deletion of exon 3 of the endogenous Mbnl1 gene for screening compounds useful in the treatment of diseases associated with aberrant microsatellite expansion.

Claims 1-29 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.